

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/980,016	EIJKELHOF, ROGIER	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Manuel L. Barbee	2857	

All Participants:

(1) Manuel L. Barbee.

(2) Thomas W. Perkins.

Date of Interview: 13 October 2005

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.


SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Mr. Perkins confirmed that no Appeal Brief has been submitted subsequent to the Notice of Appeal filed 7 February 2005.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 MARC S. HOFF
 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
 TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)